	Case4:15-cv-00068-KAW Documen	t1 Filed01/07/15 Page1 of 8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PETER R. DION-KINDEM (SBN 95267) THE DION-KINDEM LAW FIRM PETER R. DION-KINDEM, P. C.21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 900 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 883-4900 Fax: (818) 883-4902 Email: peter@dion-kindemlaw.comLONNIE C. BLANCHARD, III (SBN 93530) THE BLANCHARD LAW GROUP, APC 3311 East Pico Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90023 Telephone: (213) 599-8255 Fax: (213) 402-3949 Email: lonnieblanchard@gmail.com)	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Peikoff		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15 16	Michael Peikoff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. CLASS ACTION	
17	Plaintiff,	Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial	
18	VS.		
19	Paramount Pictures Corporation, and Does 1		
20	through 10,		
21	Defendants.		
22	Plaintiff Michael Peikoff ("Plaintiff") alleges		
23		and practices followed by Defendants Paramount	
24		in furnishing, using, procuring, and/or causing to	
25		at purposes violate the provisions of the Fair Credit	
26		seq. Specifically, Defendants violated Section	
27		procuring, and/or causing to be procured consumer	
28	reports for employment purposes by failing to make proper disclosures required thereunder		
	Complaint		

1		and/or by failing to make and/or obtain the required certifications required thereunder.	
2			
3		JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
4	2.	The Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. Section 1681p.	
5	3.	Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because the Defendants regularly	
6		do business in this district.	
7		INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT	
8	4	This matter is preparly assigned to the San Francisco or Oakland Division of this District	
9	4.	This matter is properly assigned to the San Francisco or Oakland Division of this District	
10		pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2 because Defendant Paramount does business in such Division.	
11		PARTIES	
12	5.	Michael Peikoff ("Plaintiff") is a resident of California and is a "consumer" protected by the	
13		FCRA.	
14	6.	The FCRA defines a "person" as " any individual, partnership, corporation, trust, estate,	
15		cooperative, association, government or governmental sub-division, or other entity."	
16	7.	Defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount") is believed to be Delaware	
17		corporation. Paramount is a "person" as defined by the FCRA.	
18	8.	The FCRA defines a "consumer report" as "any written, oral, or other communication of any	
19		information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit	
20		standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, mode of living	
21		which is used or expected to be used for (B) employment purposes."	
22	9.	Paramount, as standard practice, routinely procures or causes to be procured "consumer reports"	
23		from consumer reporting agencies about its employees or prospective employees for	
24		employment purposes.	
25	10.	Plaintiff does not presently know the true names and capacities of the defendants named as Does	
26		1 through 10 and therefore sues such defendants by these fictitious names. Plaintiff believes that	
27		the Doe Defendants are persons or entities who are involved in the acts set forth below, either as	
28			
	Complaint		
		2	

Case4:15-cv-00068-KAW Document1 Filed01/07/15 Page3 of 8

independent contractors, suppliers, agents, servants or employees of the known defendants, or through entering into a conspiracy and agreement with the known Defendants to perform these acts, for financial gain and profit, in violation of Plaintiff's and Class Members' rights. Plaintiff will request leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth their true names, identities and capacities when Plaintiff ascertains them.

11. Each of the Defendants has been or is the principal, officer, director, agent, employee, representative and/or co-conspirator of each of the other defendants and in such capacity or capacities participated in the acts or conduct alleged herein and incurred liability therefor. At an unknown time, some or all of the Defendants entered into a conspiracy with other of the Defendants to commit the wrongful acts described herein. These wrongful acts were committed in furtherance of such conspiracy. Defendants aided and abetted each other in committing the wrongful acts alleged herein. Each of the Defendants acted for personal gain or in furtherance of their own financial advantage in effecting the acts alleged herein.

First Claim for Relief against Defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation

Violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1681b(b)(2)

712.Plaintiff realleges all of the preceding paragraphs.

13. 15 U.S.C. Section 1681b(b) regulates the conduct of "persons" who furnish, use, procure or
 cause to be procured a "consumer report" for employment purposes as follows:

(b) Conditions for furnishing and using consumer reports for employment purposes

(1) Certification from user

A consumer reporting agency may furnish a consumer report for employment purposes only if --

(A) the person who obtains such report from the agency certifies to the agency that--

(i) the person has complied with paragraph (2) with respect to the consumer report, and the person will comply with paragraph (3) with respect to the consumer report if paragraph (3) becomes applicable; and

	Complaint
	disclosure and authorization form in an application for employment and to obtain a release of
	required disclosure "in a document that consists solely of the disclosure" by including the
	reports for employment purposes regarding Plaintiff and other class members without making th
16.	Paramount violated Section 1681b(b)(2) by procuring or causing to be procured consumer
	from a credit reporting agency.
15.	Thereafter, Paramount procured or caused to be procured a consumer report regarding Plaintiff
	I authorize the references listed above, as well as other individuals whom Paramount contacts, to provide Paramount with any and all information concerning my previous employment and any other pertinent information. Further, I release all parties and persons from all liability from any damages that may result from furnishing such information to Paramount as well as from any use or disclosure of such information by Paramount or any of its agents, employees or representatives.
	language:
	process, Plaintiff was presented with and executed an application that included the following
14.	In or about February 2011, Plaintiff applied for a job with Paramount. As part of the application
	(ii) the consumer has authorized in writing (which authorization may be made on the document referred to in clause (i)) the procurement of the report by that person
	consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes; and
	(i) a clear and conspicuous disclosure has been made in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be procured, in a document that consists solely of the disclosure, that a
	purposes with respect to any consumer, unless
	Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment
	(A) In general
	(2) Disclosure to consumer
	(B) the consumer reporting agency provides with the report, or has previously provided, a summary of the consumer's rights under this subchapter, as prescribed by the Bureau under section $1681g(c)(3)$ of this title.
	violation of any applicable Federal or State equal employment opportuni law or regulation; and
	violation of any applicable Edderal or State equal appleximent apportuni

Case4:15-cv-00068-KAW Document1 Filed01/07/15 Page5 of 8

claims from Plaintiff and other class members.

1

2 17. Paramount knew or should have known about its legal obligations under the FCRA. Paramount 3 obtained or had available substantial written materials that apprised it of its duties under the 4 FCRA. Any reasonable employer or consumer reporting agency knows about or can easily 5 discover these obligations. Paramount either knew or recklessly failed to know the disclosure 6 requirements of Section 1681b(b)(2) and knew or recklessly failed to know that using a 7 disclosure form and authorization form in an application for employment was facially contrary to 8 the express language of Section 1681b(b)(2) and all of the administrative guidance available and 9 violated the law. Despite knowing of these legal obligations, Paramount intentionally and/or 10 recklessly acted consciously in breaching its known duties and depriving Plaintiff and other 11 Class members their rights under the FCRA. 12 18. As a result of these FCRA violations, Paramount is liable for statutory damages from \$100 to 13 \$1,000 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1681n(a)(1)(A), punitive damages 14 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1681n(a)(2), and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Section 15 1681n and Section 1681o. 16 19. 15 U.S.C.A. § 1681p provides: 17 An action to enforce any liability created under this subchapter may be brought in any 18 appropriate United States district court, without regard to the amount in controversy, or in any other court of competent jurisdiction, not later than the earlier of-19 (1) 2 years after the date of discovery by the plaintiff of the violation that is the 20 basis for such liability; or 21 (2) 5 years after the date on which the violation that is the basis for such liability 22 occurs. 23 20. The violation that is the basis of liability of this claim is the procurement or the causing of the 24 procurement of a consumer report without making the required disclosure "in a document that 25 consists solely of the disclosure" by using the disclosure and authorization form to obtain 26 indemnity and a release of claims from consumers. 27 21. Plaintiff discovered Defendant Paramount's violation(s) within the last two years when he 28 Complaint

1		learned for the first time that Defendant Paramount had in fact procured and/or caused to be
2		procured a "consumer report" regarding him for employment purposes based on the illegal
3		disclosure and authorization form.
4		CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS
5		
6	22.	Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff brings this claim for
7		himself and on behalf of a class initially defined as follows:
8		Paramount Class
9		All persons residing in the United States (including all territories and other political
10		subdivisions of the United States) as to whom Paramount or any of its related companies procured or caused to be procured a consumer report for employment purposes within the
11		
12		procured or caused to be procured, in a document that consists solely of the disclosure, that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes.
13		that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes.
14	23.	Numerosity. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The members of the Class are believed to be in excess of
15		500 and are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. The names and addresses of
16		the Class members are identifiable through documents maintained by the Defendants, and the
17		Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by published and/or mailed notice.
18	24.	Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact. Fed. R. Civ. P.
19		23(a)(2). Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class. These
20		questions predominate over the questions affecting only individual members. These common
21		legal and factual questions include, among other things:
22		a. Whether Defendants violated Section 1681b(b) by procuring or causing to be procured
23		consumer reports for employment purposes without making the required disclosure "in a
24		document that consists solely of the disclosure" as required by Section 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i).
25		b. Whether Defendant's violations were willful.
26	25.	Typicality. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). Plaintiff's class claims are typical of the claims of Class
27		members. Plaintiff for class certification purposes seeks only statutory and punitive damages. In
28		
		Complaint

6

Case4:15-cv-00068-KAW Document1 Filed01/07/15 Page7 of 8

addition, Plaintiff is entitled to relief under the class claims as the other members of the Class.
26. Adequacy. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Classes because Plaintiff's interests coincide with, and are not antagonistic to, the interests of the members of the Class Plaintiff seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of members of the Class will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel.

27. Superiority. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). Questions of law and fact common to the Class members predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The statutory and punitive damages sought by each member are such that individual prosecution would prove burdensome and expensive given the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendants' conduct. It would be virtually impossible for the members of the Class individually to redress effectively the wrongs done to them. Even if the members of the Class themselves could afford such individual litigation, it would be an unnecessary burden on the Courts. Furthermore, individualized litigation presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and increases the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system presented by the complex legal and factual issues raised by Defendants' conduct. By contrast, the class action device will result in substantial benefits to the litigants and the Court by allowing the Court to resolve numerous individual claims based upon a single set of proof in a case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands a jury trial and requests that judgment be entered against all Defendants as follows:

For an order certifying the proposed FCRA classes under Federal Rule 23 and appointing
 Plaintiff and Plaintiff's undersigned counsel of record to represent same;

2. For statutory damages;

26 3. For punitive damages;

For attorney's fees and costs;

Complaint

		Case4:15-cv-00068-KAW Document1 Filed01/07/15 Page8 of 8
1 2	5. 6.	For interest as provided by law; For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.
3		Dated: January 7, 2015 THE DION-KINDEM LAW FIRM
4		
5		Pater Son - Hudem
6		BY:
7		PETER R. DION-KINDEM, P.C. PETER R. DION-KINDEM
8		Attorney for Plaintiff Michael Peikoff
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Complaint 8
		δ